

# A new era of taxation in Mexico for the digitalised economy

**Jesús Aldrin Rojas** and **José Augusto Chamorro** of **QCG Transfer Pricing Practice** explain why the introduction of the OECD's pillar one and pillar two will be a positive step for Mexico.

In October 2015, the OECD made public the action plan to combat tax evasion and profit shifting (BEPS). This plan consists of 15 measures that seek to redesign the international tax system by establishing mechanisms to combat aggressive tax practices and to ensure that taxpayers are taxed where they create value.

Action 1 of the plan (tax challenges arising from digitalisation) at the time of the issuance of the plan, remained unfinished. Initial differences of opinion as to the scope of the proposal, specifically whether it should be confined to digital companies or rather consider a 'digitalisation of the economy' approach, the design of mechanisms to attack the 'lack of taxation' of purely digital companies, the threat of the appearance of digital taxes and even the possibility of a trade war made negotiations difficult.

It was towards the beginning of President Biden's administration in the US that the unified approach proposal (pillars one and two) preliminarily presented by the OECD's Centre for Tax Policy and Administration, entered a new phase of negotiation that, in its last stage, finally led to a preliminary agreement and the issuance of a joint declaration by 132 of the 139 countries of the OECD's inclusive framework on July 1 of this year.

This proposal consists of the redistribution of taxation rights from the countries of residence of multinational companies to the countries from which they obtain their income, whether or not they have a physical presence in those countries (pillar one).

Additionally, it proposes the establishment of a global corporate tax rate of at least 15% (pillar two). It should be noted that the unified approach proposal is not specifically directed at digital companies or companies in the process of digitalisation, but seeks to tax the large multinationals (the OECD estimates that the 100 largest groups in the world would be subject to these new taxation rules).

The proposed unified approach in its pillar one reaches multinational groups with revenues of more than €20 billion and a profitability (profit before tax/revenue) of at least 10% (excluding companies in the financial and extractive sectors). Countries with taxing rights (nexus) on pillar one will be those in which multinational groups earn revenues of at least one \$1 million, or even €250,000 (approximately \$294,000) in the case of countries with a gross domestic product of less than €40 billion.

Pillar two would have a much broader scope to include multinational groups with revenues of 750 million euros (the same threshold that was established for multinational groups that are required to file the BEPS Action 13 report), excluding only multinational groups in the international shipping sector.

Pillar two works through a set of Global anti-Base Erosion Rules (GloBE) which would work as follows according to the OECD's statement on the Two-Pillar solution to address the fiscal challenges of the digitalisation of the economy of July 1 of this year:

- An Income Inclusion Rule (IIR), which would assign a supplementary tax to the parent company with respect to the under-taxed income of a group member entity; and
- An Undertaxed Payment Rule (UTPR), which would deny deductions or require an equivalent adjustment to the extent the low tax income of a constituent entity is not subject to tax under an Income Inclusion Rule (IIR); and
- Finally a regulation based on treaties (Subject to the Tax Rule (STTR)) that allows source jurisdictions to impose limited taxation on certain taxable related party payments below a minimum rate. The STTR would be creditable as a covered tax under the GloBE rules.

The implementation of pillar one is expected to collect at least \$100 billion, while from pillar two, \$150 billion. If the negotiation comes to fruition this month of October (there are countries even within the EU that have abstained from signing the joint declaration of the OECD's inclusive framework, such as Ireland, Estonia and Hungary), this long-awaited and much discussed reform of the international tax system is expected to be implemented in 2023.

Now, what would be the scope of the benefits that could be expected in Mexico? The following is an estimation of the impact that the implementation of this reform will have in Mexico.

### Potential benefit for Mexico with the implementation of pillar one

The benefit for Mexico of the implementation of pillar one would be given by the differential of the outflow of resources attributable to Mexican companies v. the income of resources from foreign companies that are within the scope of the proposed regulation.



### Jesús Aldrin Rojas

Managing partner

**QCG Transfer Pricing Practice**

T: +52 55 5395 1968

E: [jesus.aldrin.rojas@qcglatam.com](mailto:jesus.aldrin.rojas@qcglatam.com)

Jesús Aldrin Rojas is the managing partner of QCG Transfer Pricing Practice. He has more than 20 years' experience providing strategic consulting in TP and international tax matters, mainly those effects arisen from the implementation of the OECD's BEPS plan.

Jesús is the national coordinator of the Tax Committee of the Mexican-German Chamber of Commerce, member of the Tax Committee of the Canadian Chamber of Commerce in Mexico, member of the TP technical committee at the Mexican Institute of Financial Executives and founding member of the Iberoamerican Commission of Transfer Pricing Specialists. He is also the professor of TP in the master's of taxation programme at the Panamerican University in Mexico.

Jesús is an accountant, having graduated from Mexico's *Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México* (UNAM), and holds an MBA from the *Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México* (ITAM) and a master's degree in global management from Tulane University's Freeman School of Business. He is also the first certified TP expert before the Federal Judicial Council in Mexico.

To identify eligible multinational groups, a search was conducted in the Bureau Van Dijk Osiris database in order to identify multinational groups that reported revenues in excess of €20,000 million and an operating margin greater than 10%, excluding mining and financial sector companies. The analysis was focused on fiscal year 2019 to avoid distortions generated by the Covid pandemic.

Of the sample obtained, only one Mexican company (*América Móvil*) exceeded the thresholds established by the OECD for pillar one. On the other hand, 146 foreign multinational groups were considered for the analysis. Each of these groups was assigned a percentage of sales to Mexico based on the country in which it is located (this data is obtained from the OECD's AMNE 2016 database). In the

## Equation 1

$$RP1_{mx} = (TP1_{mxante} - TP1_{mxpost}) TR_{mx}$$

Where:

$RP1_{mx}$  = Increase in revenue of Mexico from Pillar One.

$TR_{mx}$  = Corporate tax rate of Mexico (30%)

$TP1_{mxante}$  = Total profit of Mexico before Pillar One.

$TP1_{mxpost}$  = Total profit of Mexico after Pillar One.

$TP1_{mxante}$  is defined as:

$$TP1_{mxante} = \sum_{i=1}^n REV_{mxi} \times OM_{mxi}$$

Where:

$REV_{mxi}$  = Revenue from  $i$ th of  $n$  Mexican companies.

$OM_{mxi}$  = Operating margin from  $i$ th of  $n$  Mexican companies.

While  $TP1_{mxpost}$  is defined as:

$$TP1_{post} = \sum_{j=1}^m [I_{for}(1,0) \times (REV_{forj} \times OM_{forj} - INC_{forj} \times ROM - R\&D_{forj} \times INTR) \times SMX_{forj}] \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n [I_{mx1}(1,0) \times \{REV_{mxi} \times OM_{mxi} - I_{mx2}(1,0) \times (REV_{mxi} \times OM_{mxi} - REV_{mxj} \times ROM - R\&D_{mxi} \times INTR) \times (1 - SMX_{mxi})\}]$$

Where:

$REV_{forj}$  = Revenue from  $j$ th of  $m$  foreign companies.

$OM_{forj}$  = Operating margin from  $j$ th of  $m$  foreign companies.

$ROM$  = Operating margin for routinary activities.

$INTR$  = Intangibles return.

$R\&D_{forj}$  = Research and development expenses from  $j$ th of  $m$  foreign companies.

$R\&D_{mxi}$  = Research and development expenses from  $i$ th of  $n$  Mexican companies.

$SMX_{forj}$  = Share of sales to Mexico from  $j$ th of  $m$  foreign companies in accordance with its country of location.

$SMX_{mxi}$  = Share of sales to Mexico from  $i$ th of  $n$  Mexican companies in accordance with its country of location.

$I_{for}(1,0) = 1$  if  $(REV_{forj} \times OM_{forj} - REV_{forj} \times ROM - R\&D_{forj} \times INTR) > 0$ ,  
0 otherwise.

$I_{mx1}(1,0) = 1$  if  $REV_{mxi} \times OM_{mxi} - I_{mx2}(1,0) \times (REV_{mxi} \times OM_{mxi} - REV_{mxj} \times ROM - R\&D_{mxi} \times INTR) \times (1 - VMX_{mxi}) > 0$ ,  
0 otherwise.

$I_{mx2}(1,0) = 1$  if  $(REV_{mxi} \times OM_{mxi} - REV_{mxj} \times ROM - R\&D_{mxi} \times INTR) > 0$ ,  
0 otherwise.

case of countries for which there is no information in the database, the percentage of sales to Mexico of the world total is assigned.

From each group three types of variables are used: revenue, operating margin and research and development expenses (from 2019).

The calculation of the increase in revenues is expressed in Equation 1.

**Potential benefit for Mexico with the implementation of pillar two**  
For the calculation of the pillar two benefit, a search was conducted in the Bureau Van Dijk's Osiris database for

Equation 2

$$RP2_{mx} = TR_{mx} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^l I_{p2a}(1,0) \times (MTR - CTR_K) \times I_{p2b}(1,0) \times REV_k OM_k \times SMV \right]$$

Where:

- $RP2_{mx}$  = Increase in revenue of Mexico from Pillar Two.
- $MTR$  = Minimum corporate tax rate.
- $CTR_K$  = Corporate tax rate from  $k$ th of  $l$  foreign companies.
- $REV_k$  = Revenue from  $k$ th of  $l$  foreign companies.
- $OM_k$  = Operating margin from  $k$ th of  $l$  foreign companies.
- $SMX$  = Total share of sales to Mexico (1.25%, obtained from AMNE)
- $I_{p2a}(1,0) = 1$  if  $MTR - CTR_K > 0$ ,  
0 otherwise.
- $I_{p2b}(1,0) = 1$  if  $OM_k > 0$ ,  
0 otherwise.

foreign companies reporting revenues in excess of €750 million in 2019.

Maritime companies and Mexican multinationals were excluded from the sample since a minimum corporate tax rate is not considered above the rate in Mexico. Each company is assigned the corporate tax rate of the country where it is located (data obtained from taxfoundation.org).

The equation that then expresses the income for Mexico by implementing pillar two is shown in Equation 2.

In consideration of the status of the negotiation of pillar two, in relation to the parameters of return on routine activities, return on generation of intangibles and the minimum rate, the results are evaluated for different scenarios in the increase in revenue for Mexico in both pillar one and pillar two.

Estimated revenue increase for Mexico under pillar one

\$K		Intangibles		
		0%	15%	25%
Routinaries	5%	\$1,096,631	\$1,035,346	\$994,490
	7.5%	\$940,286	\$879,001	\$838,185
	10%	\$783,941	\$723,496	\$683,829

Estimated revenue increase for Mexico under Pillar Two

MINIMAL USD K		
15%	17.5%	20%
\$501,734	\$613,289	\$787,908

The results indicate that the combined benefit of the impact of both pillars for Mexico in the intermediate scenario would be \$1,553 million and could reach up to \$1,884 million in the best of the scenarios evaluated in this article.

It should also be noted that to the extent that a lower remuneration is agreed for routine activities, that intangibles are not remunerated or are remunerated in the lowest possible amount and that the minimum rate is agreed at a higher level; higher revenues can be expected for Mexico.

Final considerations

The implementation of the unified approach proposal generates a net benefit for Mexico in view of the low number of Mexican multinationals that exceed the limits established in pillar one.

The maximum expected benefit of up to \$1,884 million (more than 37 billion pesos) could easily cover three times the entire budget of the National Council of Science and Technology (322%), almost two times the budget of the National Electoral Institute (189%), or the Environment and Natural Resources (up to 119% of its budget, according to figures from the Federal Expenditure Budget, 2020).

Evidently, the inflow of resources to Mexico would have to be compared against what would have been possible to capture via a local digital tax, or through proposals such as the one presented by the UN in Article 12-B of its Model Tax Convention which was built in parallel to the OECD's unified approach proposal.

In any case, the approval of pillars one and two seems imminent, and with it, the establishment of a formulary approach to complement the arm's-length system in response to the challenges of the digitalised economy, thereby inaugurating a new era in international taxation.



## **José Augusto Chamorro Gómez**

Senior economist

**QCG Transfer Pricing Practice**

T: +52 55 5395 1968

E: jose.chamorro@qcglatam.com

José Augusto Chamorro Gómez is a senior economist with QCG Transfer Pricing Practice.

José assists domestic and foreign multinational groups by providing consulting, development of TP policy, as well as support in the drafting and compliance of TP documentation as per the terms required by Mexican legislation and Action 13 of the OECD's BEPS plan (country-by-country reporting and TP documentation).

José specialises in providing support to companies in the IT sector, manufacturing and services in engagements involving the valuation of intangibles, development of econometric modelling, instrumentation of optimisation processes, etc. He is a regular author in specialised tax publications in the country.

José holds bachelor's and master's degrees in economics from the *Instituto Politécnico Nacional* (IPN) as well as a further master's degree in finance by the *Instituto Tecnológico Autónomo de México* (ITAM) in Mexico.